

Dynamic Modeling and Transient Analysis of a Molten Salt Heated Recompression Supercritical CO₂ Brayton cycle

European sCO₂ Conference

ZHOU Pan, ZHANG Jinyi and LE MOULLEC Yann

EDF R&D China 2019/09/19



OUTLINE





Dynamic Modeling and Transient Analysis of a Molten Salt Heated Recompression Supercritical CO₂ Brayton cycle

Introduction

Supercritical CO₂ Cycle + CSP



- In China, 160 \$/MWh, Year 2019 Too expensive
- SCO₂, together with high temperature (> 500 °C) molten salt CSP solutions, could achieve higher efficiency than steam solutions.
- Shouhang EDF 10MWe sCO₂ demonstration project
 - 1) Investigate the technical feasibility of SCO₂ cycle
 - 2) Industrial scale SCO₂ equipment, including turbine, compressor, recuperator, etc.
 - 3) Cycle control and operation

Introduction

System design concept





Dynamic Modeling and Transient Analysis of a Molten Salt Heated Recompression Supercritical CO₂ Brayton cycle

4

Tools used for development of dynamic model





Model Description

Cycle model





Dynamic Modeling and Transient Analysis of a Molten Salt Heated Recompression Supercritical CO $_2$ Brayton cycle

6

Model Description

Turbo-machinery performance model



Dynamic Modeling and Transient Analysis of a Molten Salt Heated Recompression Supercritical CO₂ Brayton cycle

Model Description

Heat Exchanger Modeling



ер

Control System

Elementary controllers

Temperature control loops integrated in the model to ensure:

- Safe operation of turbomachinery
- Molten salt beyond its freezing point (290°C)





Dynamic Modeling and Transient Analysis of a Molten Salt Heated Recompression Supercritical CO₂ Brayton cycle

Control System

Part load control strategy

Three method to control the cycle load level

- Inventory control: inject or release sCO₂ from the cycle
- Bypass control: bypass the fluid from outlet of LTR cold side to outlet of HTR hot side
- Variable PC rotation speed control: adjust the rotation speed of pre-compressor





Inventory control (1)



- Response time (to reference): ~150s
- Cycle efficiency: a drop of 2.2%
- Pressure protection is important to protect compressor operation



Inventory control (2)



- MCIT not well controlled: limit of pressure drop in water side of cooler 2 when the cooling water mass flow rate augments to decrease MCIT
- Conflict between MSOT and TIT control



Bypass control 2 3 Reference 190 105 0.36 Mesurement without elementary PID without elementary PID Mesurement with elementary PID LTR hot in temperature (degC) 091 01 081 081 081 100 with elementary PID with elementary PID 0.34 95 0.32 0.32 0.3 0.28 Load level (%) Very low 90 efficiency 85 **Temperature near PCHEs** 80 Load reference $100\% \rightarrow 70\%$ Efficiency $35.7\% \rightarrow 26\%$; has a high gradient **Rapid** response to reference 75 0.26 70 0.24 140 65 80 50 500 0 20 40 60 100 100 150 0 1000 1500 2000 2500 0 Time (s) Load level (%) Time (s)

- Response time (to reference): <10s</p>
- Cycle efficiency: a drop of 9.7%
- High gradient of temperature for PCHEs



Variable PC rotation speed control



- Response time (to reference): ~80s
- Cycle efficiency: a drop of 0.2%



Conclusion

Conclusions and perspectives

- A dynamic model developed for a sCO₂ recompression cycle with intercooling and preheating in Dymola
- Importance of elementary temperature control loops
- Advantages and disadvantages of three control strategies
 - Inventory control: maintain the cycle efficiency within a certain range but the response time is relatively slow
 - Bypass control is rapid but would have a drop on cycle efficiency
 - Variable PC rotation speed can keep the cycle efficiency better than inventory control but it is limit on the achievable load level
- Combination of three methods could help propose an optimized global control strategy with a good balance between efficiency and response speed for the whole range of load



Questions?